



**CRYPTOMATHIC**

## What's in the logo?

Maybe you have wondered where our logo comes from and what it actually means. If you have, we hope the following will answer these questions.

Just as our name suggests, mathematics is the strong foundation on which our company has been built. The same applies to our logo.

The Cryptomathic logo is a 4D (4-dimensional) cube projected onto a 2D (2-dimensional) plane, with one 3D (3-dimensional) cube highlighted. However, we, as terrestrial beings, can only see things in 3D it is hard to visualise something with more dimensions. The 4D cube is obtained by taking a (shadow) copy of the highlighted 3D cube, and joining all the corresponding corners of the two 3D cubes.

At our recent 20th anniversary celebration, Peter Landrock posed a mathematical challenge to all employees, centred on our logo. The challenge was to answer the following 3 questions:

- How many cubes are contained in our logo?
- How many squares are contained in our logo?
- How many edges are contained in our logo?

We only had about 1 minute (really!) to write down our answers, so basically had to guess, and in fact nobody managed to get all three answers correct. This led on to an even bigger challenge for some of us. For the rest of the day (and some of the next day) we devoted much of our time to deriving formulas for calculating these numbers – of course without cheating by looking at textbooks!

As it is very difficult to imagine things in four (or more) dimensions, it's often an advantage to re-formulate such questions using mathematical notation, and also to refer to the question in more general terms. Thus, we'll generalise into looking at cubes of  $n$  dimensions, and ask how many sub-cubes of  $k$  dimensions are contained within these, for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ .

The cube of  $n$  dimensions (the  $n$ -cube) is defined mathematically by its corners:

$$x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \text{ for } x_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

A sub-cube of  $k$  dimensions (a  $k$ -cube contained in the  $n$ -cube) is defined by fixing  $n-k$  of the  $x_i$ 's to chosen values, while letting the  $k$  remaining  $x_i$ 's vary. For example, a 2-cube (square) on the 3-cube (cube) is given by fixing one  $x_i$ , and a 1-cube (edge) is given by fixing two  $x_i$ 's. Actually, it is the 1-cubes (edges) that are usually drawn when trying to picture an  $n$ -cube.

Obviously, there are  $2^n$  0-cubes (corner points) in the  $n$ -cube, and only 1  $n$ -cube (the  $n$ -cube itself). The argument below shows that in general the number of  $k$ -cubes contained in the  $n$ -cube is given by:

$$f_{n,k} = 2^{n-k} \cdot \binom{n}{k}$$

where  $\binom{n}{k}$  is the usual combinatorial function for selecting  $k$  out of  $n$  possibilities, given by:

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!}$$

The argument goes as follows:

- There are  $2^n$  corners in the  $n$ -cube
- Each corner has  $\binom{n}{k}$   $k$ -cubes touching it (choosing which  $k$   $x_i$ 's to vary)
- Each  $k$ -cube touches  $2^k$  corners

Multiplying the first two of these counts each  $k$ -cube  $2^k$  times, thus giving the result above by dividing this out. A similar argument would just say that we could choose the  $n-k$   $x_i$ 's to fix in  $\binom{n}{k}$  ways, and then choose the actual values of these in  $2^{n-k}$  ways.

Alternatively, if one is very good at imagining things in  $n$  dimensions the result can also be found by observing that generally the  $n$ -cube can be constructed from the  $(n-1)$ -cube by taking a (shadow) copy of it and then combining all corresponding corners in the two copies. Besides from doubling all contained  $k$ -cubes this also gives an additional  $k$ -cube for each  $(k-1)$ -cube in the original  $(n-1)$ -cube. Combined with the previous observations for  $k = 0$  and  $k = n$ , this gives the recursive formulas\*:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n,k} &= 1 && , \text{ for } k = n \\ f_{n,k} &= 2^n && , \text{ for } k = 0 \\ f_{n,k} &= 2 \cdot f_{n-1,k} + f_{n-1,k-1} && , \text{ for } 0 < k < n \end{aligned}$$

The formula for  $f_{n,k}$  derived earlier can easily be proved to be the unique solution to these equations.

The answer to the original challenge can now be calculated as:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{4,3} &= 2^1 \cdot \binom{4}{3} = 8 \\ f_{4,2} &= 2^2 \cdot \binom{4}{2} = 24 \\ f_{4,1} &= 2^3 \cdot \binom{4}{1} = 32 \end{aligned}$$

**Tom Hagelskjær**

\* Suggested by Jonathan Tuliani